

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

FRIDAY, THE 19TH OCTOBER 2007 / 27TH ASWINA 1929

RP.No. 956 of 2007(L)

**-----
AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN OP.20700/2000 Dated 22/06/2007**

.....

REVIEW PETITIONERS/RESPONDENTS IN OP:-

**1. THE CHAIRMAN CUM MANAGING DIRECTOR,
CANARA BANK, 112 J.C.ROAD, BANGALORE- 560002.**

**2. DEPUTY GENERAL MANAGER,
CANARA BANK,
STAFF SECTION (W), CIRCLE OFFICE,
TRIVANDRUM.**

**BY ADV. SRI.M.P.SREEKRISHNAN
SMT.SHAHNA KARTHIKEYAN
SRI.LARUN VISWANATH**

RESPONDENTS: PETITIONERS IN OP:-

**1. C.P.LAKSHMI KUTTY AMMA,
24/2130, SREECHAKRAM, MANAKAVU, CALICUT- 673007.**

**2. C.P.SIVASANKARAN,
24/2130, SREECHAKRAM, MANKAVU, CALICUT-673007.**

BY

**THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 19/10/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:**

T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.

=====

R.P.No. 956 of 2007

in

O.P.No.20700 of 2000-L.

=====

Dated this the 19th day of October, 2007.

O R D E R

This review petition was filed by the respondents in the writ petition. The review is sought on various grounds. Going by the averments in the Memorandum of Review Petition, from the judgment in WA.No.1313/2003, SLP.No.18466/2006 is pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Even though the application of the petitioner was rejected by the Bank under the then existing scheme, the same is the subject matter of the writ petition. What is directed to be considered is not appointment on compassionate grounds, but payment of lump sum exgratia payment as provided under the New Scheme, which came into force while the Original Petition is pending. Therefore, I see no grounds to review the judgment and the same is dismissed.

T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JUDGE.

Kvs/-